AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 3
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 02/07/02	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ	. NO.	5. PROJECT NO.	4
6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE		
JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT OFFICE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS P.O. BOX 4970 JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32232-0 VICKI V. TIPTON (904) 232-1146	JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT OFFICE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS 400 WEST BAY STREET JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32202-4412				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county) CODE The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Its	FACILITY CODE 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES	S TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITIES CEIPT of Offers	9B. DATED (SEE A 2 NOV 10A. MODIFICATIONS	7-02-R-0001 TTEM 11) 2001 ON OF CONTRACTS/	
tended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hou (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a refe MENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE REC IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solici 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)	1 copies of the amendment; () rence to the solicitation and amendment EIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AN desire to change an offer already submitte ation and this amendment, and is received. 3. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO	b) By acknowledging receipt of this amen numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLED NO DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT ed, such change may be made by telegram d prior to the opening hour and date speci	dment on each copy of the offer OG- or fied. TS/ORDERS,		
() A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Spe		ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN IRTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CON			
TRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				-	-
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFI appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14,	ED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE C PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR	HANGES (such as changes in paying 43.103(b).	g office,		
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PL	RSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority))				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign t	his document and return	co	ppies to the issui	ng office.
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized CONSTRUCTION DREDGING (PHAS DUVAL COUNTY FLORIDA IS AME THE DATE SET FOR RECEIPT OF OCIRCUMSTANCES, THIS DATE WILL NO FURTHER QUESTIONS CONCERBASE THEIR PROPOSALS ON INFOUTHROUGH 0004. SEE CONTINUATION PAGE FOR AI Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document and effect.	E II), 40-FOOT PROJE NDED AS FOLLOWS: FFERS IS CHANGED T L NOT BE EXTENDED RNING CHARACTER C RMATION AS PROVID	CT, CUT-3 THROUGH TO 12 FEBRUARY 200 D. OF MATERIAL WILL I DED IN THE SOLICITA S.	I CUT-50, JACKS 2 AT 4:00 P.M. B BE ADDRESSED. ATION AND AME	ARRING U	NFORESEEN S SHOULD
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERI	CA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY	gnature of Contracting Officer)		
NSN 7540-01-152-8070		30-105-02	STANDARD FORM 30 (REV	r. 10-83)	USAPPC V2.00

- 1. The following responses are provided to contractor questions:
- **Q:** Reference Section 00800, Clause 52.236, Section 01000, Clause 1.7.6.2, Section 02325, Clause 3.5: The method of survey for pay quantity measurement and for acceptance are unclear.
- **A:** At this date and for this contract, all payment surveys continue to be accomplished via single beam high frequency surveys performed at designated intervals for end-area computations (Refer to Specifications Section 01270, Paragraph 1.2.1.1, Payment and Paragraph 1.2.1.2, Measurement). As with past contracts that Great Lakes Dredge & Dock has participated in, there will be no averaging, sorting, or any other subjective manipulation of the data set.

Sweep surveys will be performed for acceptance of dredged areas (Refer to Specifications Section 02325, Paragraph 3.7, Final Examination and Acceptance). The intent of the sweep survey is to verify that the entire dredging area has been dredged to contract depth. Therefore ANY data point collected during the sweep survey that reveals a lack of contract depth will require a revisit by the dredge contractor followed by verification via the survey contractor. There will be no sorting, averaging, or any other subjective manipulation of the data set.

- **Q:** The request has been made to delete references to rock in Section 02325, Paragraph 2.1 and 2.1.1. Additionally, a request for clarification of the "5 percent rock" was requested.
- **A:** Amendment 0003 has identified approximately 5% rock in the non-rock areas. The term "Non-Rock Area" in the specification is hereby changed to read "Minimal Rock Area". Additionally, the "5 percent rock" refers to "area" and the Government makes no representations as to the specific location of this rock.
- **Q:** Section 02325, Paragraph 2.1.6: Concerns have been raised as to the presence of isolated pinnacle of virgin materials (not identified by core borings).
- **A:** The original after-dredge surveys were accomplished on 100-foot spacings and document that for all practical purposes, the original excavation was carried to grade. With 100-foot spacings, it is probable that isolated pinnacles of virgin materials were left above grade. See Materials Paragraph 2.1.5, "Problem Areas" for an example of such issues.
- **Q:** Section 02325, Various Paragraphs: A prospective offeror has expressed concerns that the references to rock in non-rock areas and the references to isolated pinnacles of virgin materials is an attempt to disclaim the contents of the borings provided.
- A: By identifying an estimated quantity of rock in the minimal rock areas and a quantity of occasional (less than 1 percent of the project area) pinnacles of virgin material, the Government is not prohibiting a contractor from relief under the Differing Site Conditions Clause. Instead, these estimates are provided in order for a contractor to prepare an offer with all information that the Government has available. Additionally, the Government represents that the following features are indications of rock in this project: a) references to gravel on core boring logs; b) high blow counts when sampling with the Standard Splitspoon; and c) limited penetration when using the vibracore. Therefore, offerors should consider all the information provided when preparing proposal costs.
- Q: Section 01000, Appendices C and D: Request that rock cores be tested for unconfined compressive strength (UCS) and results be sent to all prospective offerors.
- **A:** The Government does not have this data on-hand. Offerors are invited to inspect the rock core at the Jacksonville District Warehouse.

- Q: Drawing 1/3: Request the dates of the surveys for Beach Disposal Areas "B" and "C":
- A: The survey data was obtained from 05 February through 06 March 2000.
- **Q:** Concerns have been expressed that the 1999 and 2000 after-dredge surveys indicate that the major part of the channel was never dredged to grade.
- **A:** There were 2 construction grades (38+2 & 40+2) used in the 1970's deepening of the harbor as listed in the Character of Materials Paragraph Section 02325. The Government represents that (except for occasional isolated pinnacles of virgin materials that exist between the 100-foot survey lines) that the 38-foot and the 40-foot required excavation grades were achieved over the entire project area.

While the 1970's after dredge surveys can be considered documenting the deepest excavation achieved at Jacksonville Harbor, subsequent maintenance excavations document that some reaches of the harbor were dredged even deeper than the 1970's work. A concept of advance maintenance was developed that established target excavation grades even deeper than the 1970's excavation grades. Resistant materials sometimes prevented these deeper target excavation grades from being achieved. Additionally, the advanced maintenance after-dredge surveys may show some shoaling materials above the 1970's after-dredge surveys elevations. To delineate the deepest excavations ever achieved at Jacksonville harbor, a combination of the 1970's after-dredge surveys and the subsequent advanced maintenance surveys must be evaluated. They will show that everything was excavated to the elevations shown in the 1970's after dredge surveys. In addition the advanced maintenance after-dredge surveys show that some reaches of the channel were dredged even deeper than documented in the 1970's after-dredge surveys.

Concerning materials left above the subsequent deeper advance maintenance excavation grades that may or may not be rock: Contractors should rely on adjacent core borings to evaluate the material.

- **Q:** Drawing 2/31: The cross section Sta 139+23.83 shows a required grade of -42.0 with 1.0 foot overdepth allowance. This is inconsistent with drawing 2/20 which shows a required grade at -41.0 with 1.0' overdepth.
- **A:** There is a typo on drawing 2/31. The required depth should read 41-foot Req'd Depth. The template is at the correct elevation. The drawing has been corrected and the drawing files provided to the awardee will reflect the correct information.
- 2. DRAWINGS: Make the following pen and ink changes:
- Dwg. 1/3: Add the following note to the Beach Disposal Area "B" and "C" Survey Notes in zone F-9:
 - 9. DATES OF SURVEY: 5 FEB 6 MAR 2000.
- Dwg. 2/31: In Zone D-3 change "42-FOOT REQ'D" to "41-FOOT REQ'D"